

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,633	09/26/2003	David G. Boyer	633-061us	8084	
47912 Avava				EXAMINER	
DEMONT & BREYER, LLC 100 COMMONS WAY, STE 250 HOLMDEL, NJ 07733			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2617	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2011	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dblaw.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/672,633	BOYER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELODY MEHRPOUR	2617	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or Period for Reply	the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTIORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFH 1.136(a), In after SX (6) MCNT45 from the mailing date of this communication.  II NO period of mayby a specified above, the mountime statutory period will apply a communication of the state of the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patient from adulations. See 37 OFR 1.750.	F THIS COMMUNICATION. To event, however, may a reply be timely filed and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 20	211.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted o	or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
Certified copies of the priority documents have					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority document to the pri					
application from the International Bureau (PCT * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	* **				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	erined copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No/s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2617

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8, 11-13, 16-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al. (US Patent 7,447,495 B2).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 17, Agrawal teaches an apparatus/method for delivering a voice mail message to a recipient, comprising:

a memory (col 5 lines 23-39); and

at least one processor, coupled to the memory, operative to (col 5 lines 24-39, col 6 lines 57-67) and obtain a presence status of said sender from a presence server (col 7 lines 1-10); and identification of at least one device where the sender is present (col 7 lines 1-10); deliver said mail message to said recipient with the sender the indication of presence of the sender (col 6 lines 64-67, col 7 lines 1-2) the indication of a presence of said sender the indication an identification of at least one sender is present (col 7 lines 1-10). Agrawal teaches a method wherein user presence data can be used in various applications. For example, a buddy list can be presented to an instant messaging user

Art Unit: 2617

to identify members of the buddy list that are currently available. Alternatively, user presence data can be configured to indicate when a user will become available, or if a user is reachable, but not currently available. User presence data can be configured to provide alerts as users log on or off an application such as an instant messaging application, or designate an address for message delivery. For example, user presence could indicate that a user is available by cell phone and currently unavailable by desktop. In addition, user presence data can include cell phone status such as data or voice mode indications and applications configured to transmit messages appropriately. In a representative example, user actions based on a selected application (such as instant messaging, word processing, email, data communication, voice messaging) can be used to establish or update user activity status data (automatically). Such user activity status data is associated with network actions by the user based on actual network use by the user. Communication with other users and/or with applications can be based on user activity status data (delivering a voice mail message to the recipient with an indication of a presence of the sender). Agrawal may not specifically mention that the method teach receive said voice mail message from a sender, and deliver said voice mail message to said recipient with the sender the indication of presence of the sender. However, the Examiner takes official notice that receiving voice mail instead of e- mail is a well feature in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching with Agrawal, in order to notify the user of the incoming telephone call in response to the

Art Unit: 2617

telecommunication interface intercepting the incoming telephone call prior to ringing of the incoming telephone call.

Regarding claims 2, 18, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus wherein said presence server extracts presence information from a plurality of presence data stores (col 7 lines 10-23).

Regarding claims 3, 19, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus of claim 2, wherein said presence server translates said presence information to a standard format (col 7 lines 10-23).

Regarding claims 4, 20, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus wherein said presence server determines said presence status of said sender based on one or more rules that aggregate extracted presence information (col 7 lines 22-35).

Regarding claims 5, 21, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus wherein said recipient responds to said sender in another domain (col 7 lines 22-44).

Regarding claims 6, 22, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus wherein said presence information indicates if the message sender can be reached at one or more indicated devices (0098, 0102).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 7, Agrawal teaches a method of claim 1, wherein said presence information is obtained from a user registration process (col 7 lines 1-10).

Regarding claims 8, Agrawal teaches a method of claim 1, wherein said presence information is obtained by observing activities of a user (col 8 lines 23-32).

Regarding claims 11, 16, 23, Agrawal teaches a method/apparatus wherein said recipient can respond to said sender using a non-textual form of communication (col 7 lines 2-10).

Regarding claim 13, Agrawal teaches a method of claim 12, wherein said providing step allows said recipient to respond to said sender in another domain (col 7 lines 23-50).

Regarding claim 24, Agrawal teaches an apparatus of claim 17, wherein said presence status indicates a presence status of said sender across a plurality of domains (col 7 lines 23-50).

 Claims 9-10, 14-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal (US Patent 7,447,495 B2) in view of Haim (US Patent 6,718,014)

Regarding claims 9, 14, Agrawal fails to teach a method/apparatus wherein said recipient can respond to said sender in real time. However, Haim teaches a method/apparatus wherein said recipient can respond to said sender in real time (col 4 lines 5-15, col 1 lines 8-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2617

invention was made to combine the above teaching of Haim with Agrawal modified, in order to notify the user of the incoming telephone call in

response to the telecommunication interface intercepting the incoming telephone call prior to ringing of the incoming telephone call.

Regarding claims 10, 15, Agrawal fails to teach a method wherein said recipient can respond to said sender in non-real time. Haim teaches a method wherein said recipient can respond to said sender in non-real time (col 1 lines 8-14, col 4 lines 5-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Haim with Agrawal, in order to notify the user of the incoming telephone call in response to the telecommunication interface intercepting the incoming telephone call prior to ringing of the incoming telephone call.

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, the applicant argue that Agrawal disclose that a server obtain the presence information of a recipient and not the presence information of a sender. However, Agrawal fails to teach a method wherein "deliver said voice mail message to <u>said recipient</u> with the sender the indication of presence of the sender"!!!!

The Examiner asserts that Agrawal teaches user presence data can be used in various applications. For example, a buddy list can be presented to an instant messaging user to identify

Art Unit: 2617

members of the buddy list that are currently available. Alternatively, user presence data can be configured to indicate when a user will become available, or if a user is reachable, but not currently available. User presence data can be

configured to provide alerts as users log on or off an application such as an instant messaging application or designate an address for message delivery. For example, user presence could indicate that a user is available by cell phone and currently unavailable by desktop. In addition, user presence data can include cell phone status such as data or ~ mode indications and applications configured to transmit messages appropriately. In a representative example, user actions based on a selected apron (such as instant messaging, word processing, email, data communication, ~ messaging) can be used to establish or update user activity status data (automatically). Such user activity status data is associated with network actions by the user based on actual network use by the user. Communication with other users and/or with applications can be based on user activity status data (delivering a voice mail message to the recipient with an indication of a presence of the sender).

Second, the applicant argues that the best mode of communication to use when responding to an instant message dose not arise in Agrawal !!!

This argument is not related to the applicant's claims, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.,...receiving said voice mail message from a sender; obtaining a presence status of said sender from a presence server; and delivering said voice mail message to said recipient with an indication of a presence

Art Unit: 2617

of said sender, said indication including an identification of at least one device where said sender is present.") are recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181,26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Third, in response to applicant's argument that the Agrawal fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, again the Examiner as previously mentioned in the last rejection, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.,instant messaging proxy client ...) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations, from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Fourth, again the applicant's repeated the same argument that Agrawal does not disclose "delivering said voice mail message to said recipient with an indication of a presence of said sender, said indication including an identification of at least one device where said sender is present "

The Examiner asserts that <u>it appears that the applicant are interpreting in light of the</u> specification, limitations more that the claimed features from the specification are not read into the claims, See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The Examiner asserts that Agrawal teaches user presence data can be used in various applications. For example, a buddy list can be presented to an instant messaging user to identify

Art Unit: 2617

members of the buddy list that are currently available. Alternatively, user presence data can be configured to indicate when a user will become available, or if a user is reachable, but not currently available. User presence data can be configured to provide alerts as users log on or off an application such as an instant messaging application or designate an address for message delivery. For example, user presence could indicate that a user is available by cell phone and currently unavailable by desktop. In addition, user presence data can include cell phone status such as data or ~ mode indications and applications configured to transmit messages appropriately. In a representative example, user actions based on a selected apron (such as instant messaging, word processing, email, data communication, ~ messaging) can be used to establish or update user activity status data (automatically). Such user activity status data is associated with network actions by the user based on actual network use by the user. Communication with other users and/or with applications can be based on user activity status data (delivering a voice mail message to the recipient with an indication of a presence of the sender).

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2617

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Examiner Notes

Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

### Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELODY MEHRPOUR whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2617

5(571)272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost be reached (571) 272-7023.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Naghmeh Mehrpour/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

March 17, 2011